P.R. No. 3929/05 B.K. Chowdhary
Presented by Shri 11-8-05
dated 11-8-05

3

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3855 / 2005

PETITIONER : William Ekka S/o Shri Franshis Ekka, Aged about 43 years, Working as Registry Clerk (R.M.) Sub-Registry Office, Dharamjaigarh R/o Village & Post – Singibahar Tah.-Kunkuri Distt. Jashpurnagar

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of C.G., Through The Secretary, Ministry of Revenue, Dou Kalyan Singh Bhavan Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Inspector General Registrar Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector (Registry Branch) Raigarh (C.G.)
4. Shri Mirja Ashlam Begh Senior Division Registry Clerk Sub Division Office – Gharghora (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Petitioner must

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

15

W.P. No. 3855/05

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7.9.2005 Shri B. K. Chakrabarty, counsel for the petitioner. Shri P. S. Koshy, Deputy Advocate General for the Respondents No. 1 to 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working as Registry Clerk, he has been transferred from the office of the Sub-Registrar, Dharmjaigarh, District- Raigarh to the office of the Sub-Registrar, Gharghoda, District- Raigarh. The petitioner relies on the circular No. F-1-1/2002/1/6 dated 18.10.2004. Learned Deputy Advocate General, on the other hand, submits that it is not a case of transfer but vide order dated 26.7.2005 passed by the Collector, Raigarh, an internal arrangement is made within the District, under the same Collectorate, as such, the petitioner will not be prejudiced if he works in the same district in other office of the Sub-Registrar. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not pointed out any malice or violation of rules or regulations in making internal adjustment or allotment of the work within the district by the Collector. In view of the foregoing, there is no merit in the petition, which is liable to be, and is accordingly, dismissed. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	